

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/050,291	01/16/2002	Ronald J. Wolf	WOR0001.CIP	9107	
75	90 10/17/2002				
Todd T. Taylor			EXAMINER		
TAYLOR & AUST, P.C. 142 S. Main St.			STRECKER, GERARD R		
P.O. Box 560 Avilla, IN 46710			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2211111, 221			2862		
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.				
Application No.				

Applicant(s)

WOLF Office Action Summary **Group Art Unit** Examiner G.R. STRECKER 2862 -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address -P riod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE __ONE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 1-/7 is/are pending in the application. X Claim(s) _____ Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s)_ __ is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) _ _____ is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s)... is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement **Application Papers** ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _________ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ___ ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received: _ Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ □ Int rview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Ref rence(s) Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Other_

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. -

Application/Control Number: 10/050,291 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

I. Figs. 1-3, 6, 7 and 11-26;

II. Figs. 8 and 9;

III. Figs. 27-33;

IV. Fig. 34;

. ₩ V. Figs. 36-41.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 10/050,291

Art Unit: 2862

<u>...</u>

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to G. R. Strecker at

telephone number (703) 305-4937.

G.R. Strecker/mm

10/16/02

GERARD R. STRECKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3